		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	2017	08/809,650	BAHR, GEORGE	s
Examiner-initiated interview Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Zachariah Lucas	1648	
All Participants:		Status of Applicatio	n:	
(1) Zachariah Lucas.		(3)	•	
(2) MaryAnne Armstrong.		(4)	·	
Date of Interview: <u>13 December 2006</u>		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:		nnt's representative)		·
Part I.	•			
Rejection(s) discussed:			•	
Claims discussed: 25, 34				
Prior art documents discussed:			·	
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENEI	RAL NATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:	·
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	tion. The	e examiner will provide a ecord of the substance	a written summary of the of the interview, since the	e substance
3				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	pplicant	/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appro	priate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner inquired as to whether the Applicant would accept an Examiner's Amendment cancelling the text ", and wherein said effective amount is also an amount that is capable of causing a 100% inhibition of the replication of said retroviruses in primary cultures of monocytes of the host" from claims 25 and 34. The Applicant agreed to the amendment.